United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,593	09/05/2006	Elias Bitar	4590-559	3392
33308 7590 07/13/2009 LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP		EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 300			NGUYEN, CHUONG P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
				DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/591,593	BITAR ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuong P. Nguyen	3663				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Chuong P. Nguyen</u> .	(3)					
(2) Keith J. Townsend.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 July 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant described the invention</u> ; <u>however</u> , <u>Applicant is advised that the amendment missing essential method steps in the amended claim 1 (i.e. no step was clearly recited or identified for the method claim). In addition, further search and consideration are required for determining patentability.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
/Chuong Nguyen/						
Examiner - Art Unit 3663						